UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. CR212-22

CR212-23

Plaintiff,

VS.

STEPHEN A. KEATING, Brunswick, Georgia

September 5, 2013

Defendant. 2:08 p.m.

CONCLUSION OF SENTENCING HEARING, RESTITUTION BEFORE THE HONORABLE LISA GODBEY WOOD United States Chief District Judge

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: JENNIFER G. SOLARI

Assistant United States Attorney

22 Barnard Street, Suite 300

Post Office Box 8970 Savannah, Georgia 31412

(912) 652-4422

Jenna.Solari@usdoj.gov

For the Defendant: C. NATHANIEL MERRITT, ESQUIRE

> Merritt & Grinstead Post Office Box 1610

Hinesville, Georgia 31313-8610

(912) 369-6000

merrittlaw@coastalnow.net

Reported By: Norma Hatfield, FPR

Official Court Reporter

801 Gloucester Street, Rm. 216

Post Office Box 1316

Brunswick, Georgia 31521-1316 (912) 262-2608 or (912) 262-9989

normah3@comcast.net

Norma_Hatfield@gas.uscourts.gov

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Call to Order at 2:08 p.m.) THE COURT: Good afternoon. Miss Nelson, call the 3 4 case. THE CLERK: United States of America versus 5 Stephen Keating. Jenna Solari for the Government. 6 Nathaniel Merritt for the Defense. 7 MS. SOLARI: The Government is ready to proceed, 8 9 Your Honor. 10 MR. MERRITT: Ready for the Defendant, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Back in July, July 29th, 12 2012, we convened court in order to sentence Mr. Keating. 13 We adjourned in order to properly consider the restitution issue. We had some testimony at the July hearing, and we 14 took a recess in order to hear further evidence regarding 15 the issue of restitution for the minors. 16 17 Ms. Solari, does the Government have any other evidence or witnesses it would like to call in that regard? 18 MS. SOLARI: Your Honor, please the Court, we 19 20 would like to recall Dr. Diana Walther. 21 THE COURT: All right. MS. SOLARI: Your Honor, the Government submitted 22 23 a supplemental sentencing memorandum that attached as appendices two cost breakdowns for J.J. and E.J. that were 24 25 created by Dr. Walther.

1 Does Your Honor have copies of those? 2 THE COURT: I did have the opportunity to read and review that, and I have it in front of me. 3 MS. SOLARI: Thank you, Your Honor. DIANA WALTHER, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 5 6 THE CLERK: You may be seated. 7 Please state your full name, spell your last, state your business address and occupation for the record. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I'm Diana Lynn Walther, 10 W-A-L-T-H-E-R. Business address is 1421 Lee Street, 11 Brunswick, Georgia, 31520. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SOLARI: 13 14 And your occupation, Doctor? 15 I'm a psychologist. 16 Now I'm sure the Court recalls that you gave testimony in this case on July 29th, and we'd just like to ask you to 17 provide a little bit of supplemental information regarding 18 19 the calculation of restitution amounts in this case. 20 Okay. Α We will continue to refer to the two minor victims as 21 22 E.J., being the youngest victim, and J.J., being the older of the two victims at issue in this hearing. 23 24 Now you submitted updated cost estimates and breakdowns 25 as it pertains to the children's future needs.

- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q As I recall from your testimony on the 29th, prior to
- 3 | that date, you said to reach your diagnoses and your
- 4 | opinions you had evaluated both of the children; is that
- 5 right?
- 6 A That's right.
- 7 Q And you had, of course, relied upon your educational
- 8 | and your own expertise and experience; is that right?
- 9 A That's right.
- 10 Q And consulted professional texts as well?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 | Q Did you consult any additional resources to create the
- cost breakdown that you provided to the Court for purposes
- 14 of today's hearing?
- 15 A There was a report by a Dr. Cooper, a forensic
- 16 | pediatrician, that I reviewed. And I consulted with my
- 17 | colleague, William Johnson who's also a psychologist. And I
- 18 also did some research over the internet.
- 19 Q Now Dr. Cooper who you mentioned, was that a forensic
- 20 report that Dr. Cooper prepared to help determine
- 21 restitution in another child exploitation case?
- 22 A Yes, it was.
- 23 Q Before we get into sort of the step-by-step breakdown
- 24 of your estimate, could I ask you to explain to the Court
- 25 | what is not included in your restitution amount?

A I didn't include the cost of medical treatment because I felt like, as a non-physician, that was really out of my area of expertise.

But as I understand it from the research that I've done, there is a correlation between childhood abuse and significant medical problems later in life, especially with onset in adulthood. But it can be before that.

J.J. has already started exhibiting some medical problems. So I'm thinking that might be an issue for her, but I did not include that in my estimate.

I also did not include the cost of tutoring if that becomes necessary. J.J. has also been having some trouble in school as I had testified previously. She's saying that she hates school and feels like she doesn't do very well. So I'm thinking that may be a future need as well, but I did not include that.

I didn't include the cost of inflation. I'm sure treatment twenty years from now is going to be a whole lot more expensive than it is currently. But I really didn't have a way to calculate that, so that's not included.

I didn't include loss of wages if these kids, as adults, need to go into treatment and they're absent from work for a significant amount of time. I didn't include that.

I didn't include the cost of relocation if the family

- needs to move somewhere else in order to get the appropriate services. That would not be included in the cost.
- Q So then as I understand it, this breakdown really
 sticks to the children's psychological and psychiatric needs
 over the course of their lives?
- 6 A That's correct.
- Q All right. Again, before we get into the step-by-step breakdown, I'd also like to ask you about the treatment plan. I shared with you the Defendant's objections to the current request for restitution.
- 11 A Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q One of those objections is that you have not provided a detailed treatment plan.
- 14 Could you address that issue with the Court?
- 15 A I do have treatment plans. I do treatment plans for 16 every client I see.
 - My treatment plans have goals on there that are specific to symptoms and behaviors that the clients have.

 There isn't a treatment plan that I do, or one that I know of that my colleagues do, that includes a time frame.
 - So I don't have on there how long I expect it will take for them to reach those goals. I just have goals in terms of the symptoms that I would like to see decrease.
- Q And is the purpose of a treatment plan to assess or predict the costs that will be associated with treatment?

- A No, it's not. It's just more a guideline for the
 therapist and maybe also to share with the parents so that
 the parents have an idea of where this is heading.
 - Q So while that is of use to you in structuring your counseling sessions, do you think that would be of any use to the Court in determining the cost of future treatment?
 - A I would not think so.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q All right. Well, then, let's talk about the types of treatment and the associated costs that you've submitted in your estimate here. Let's talk first about counseling.
- I see you've indicated here counseling weekly for forty years, \$125 per week. Let's talk first about that \$125 per week cost. How did you arrive at that figure?
- A Well, I charge \$120 an hour, which was actually a recent increase for me. I was charging \$110.
 - There are other people in this area who I know charge more than \$120 an hour. There are some people I know who charge between \$150 and \$200 an hour.
 - So I just bumped it up a little bit to account for what the cost might be in this area, and I think that's kind of a low estimate, to be honest with you.
 - Q So that's sort of maybe a low to mid estimate then for hourly treatment --
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q -- in this geographical region?

- 1 A Right.
- 2 Q Would it perhaps be more expensive in another
- 3 geographical area?
- 4 A I imagine if you went to, say, Atlanta or any other
- 5 | larger city, it would be significantly more.
- 6 Q But \$125, you think, is fair and reasonable for this
- 7 area of the country?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q Now weekly for forty years, can you explain that?
- 10 A I didn't mean continuously for forty years. I was
- 11 thinking off and on for a total of forty years throughout
- 12 their lives. We have a three year old and a six year old.
- So I thought if we do this up to the age of seventy,
- 14 | reasonably for how long might they need this off and on, so
- 15 | I estimated forty years.
- 16 Q The times at which these children may need counseling,
- 17 | is that based on the things you articulated in your previous
- 18 | testimony, certain life events that may trigger symptoms?
- 19 A I think it would be more likely at those times that
- 20 they would need to go back to treatment.
- 21 But I can't predict that totally either. It may be
- 22 that nothing has really happened to trigger it other than
- 23 for some reason they start thinking about it or
- 24 reexperiencing symptoms.
- 25 Those critical periods that I described I think would

- 1 | be the times when I would think most likely somebody might
- 2 | return for treatment. Say they develop a substance abuse
- 3 | problem, that could be anything that might trigger a
- 4 relapse.
- 5 Q So this forty years, as you said, is meant to be sort
- 6 of an aggregate amount of time over the course of their
- 7 | natural lives?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q What type of counseling is included in this category?
- 10 A I would include individual, marital or couples. People
- 11 | with a history of abuse are much more likely to have
- 12 | relationship problems. Family therapy. It could be group
- 13 therapy.
- 14 Q So this is all encompassing just in the area of weekly
- 15 | therapy sessions, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Let's talk then about hospitalization. I see here that
- 18 | we have hospitalization estimated at \$1,000 a day for a
- 19 total of \$60,000.
- 20 Can you explain, first, to the Court what types of
- 21 things might cause one of these victims to require a
- 22 | hospital stay?
- 23 A If there were an eating disorder, suicidal thoughts,
- 24 | self-abusive behavior like cutting themselves, substance
- 25 | abuse, worsening depression to the point where they really

- 1 | couldn't function and take care of themselves.
- 2 Q Are those symptoms that you have seen in victims of
- 3 childhood sexual abuse?
- 4 A Yes, they are.
- 5 Q And do you believe that these particular children are
- 6 at significant risk for those ailments?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 Q How did you determine the cost, \$1,000 a day, for a
- 9 hospital stay?
- 10 A That was through consulting with Dr. Johnson and
- 11 looking up on the internet how much it might cost for a
- 12 typical hospitalization.
- 13 | Q Dr. Johnson is your partner in your practice?
- 14 A He is. He has been practicing for, oh my goodness,
- 15 forty plus years.
- 16 Q Does he treat patients, also, who have been victims of
- 17 childhood sexual abuse?
- 18 A He does.
- 19 Q How did you arrive at a figure of, I guess, an
- 20 aggregate of about sixty days in and out of the hospital?
- 21 A That was just kind of trying to take an average of what
- 22 | it could be. Worst case scenario would be somebody who's in
- 23 and out of the hospital, say, ten times for a year each
- 24 | time, which could happen.
- 25 But I was just trying to take a reasonable average of

- 1 what this might be.
- 2 Q Given the likelihood that these children will need
- 3 | hospital care at some point?
- 4 A Right.
- 5 Q I did mean to ask you about that. Your entire estimate
- 6 here, is this based on a worst case scenario?
- 7 A No, it's not. I tried to pick something reasonable,
- 8 | middle of the road. I mean, the estimate is based on the
- 9 risk that I think these children have of this being chronic
- 10 and severe, but it is not the absolute worst case scenario
- 11 and it's not the minimum.
- 12 I tried to pick something that was middle of the road,
- 13 in-between.
- 14 Q Let's talk about, then, residential treatment. You
- 15 have there as adolescents each one of these victims may
- 16 require residential treatment for as much as nine months at
- 17 \$500 a day.
- 18 First, how did you arrive at that cost figure, \$500 a
- 19 day, for residential treatment?
- 20 A That was the internet research. We looked up various
- 21 residential programs. Of those that we could find where
- 22 they named a cost, we took an average of that.
- 23 It is not uncommon for victims of abuse to be in
- 24 | residential treatment, usually during the teenage years, for
- 25 | years at a time. I mean, this could be for a few years.

- 1 So, again, this is not the worst case scenario.
 - Q Nine months is actually somewhat conservative then?
- 3 A I would think.
- $4 \mid \bigcirc$ Just to be clear, what is done in a residential
- 5 | treatment facility, or what is the purpose of that
- 6 treatment?

- 7 A It would be for somebody who needs longer term, more 8 structured care. A hospital is for crisis stabilization.
- 9 So somebody might be in a hospital until they feel
- 10 like, okay, they're good enough to be released from the
- 11 hospital. But then if they feel like they're not stable
- 12 enough to go back home, they might go into a residential
- 13 treatment facility or maybe a group home.
- 14 | Q Let's discuss the issue of medication. Now if either
- 15 of these children did need medication for psychological
- 16 issues, who would be most likely prescribing that for them?
- 17 A A child psychiatrist. It could be a pediatrician, but
- 18 | most of the pediatricians are not comfortable with
- 19 | medications and diagnoses that are more complicated than,
- 20 say, just plain ol' ADHD or depression.
- 21 | Q So as a psychologist, are you entitled or are you able
- 22 to prescribe this type of medication?
- 23 A Not in this state.
- 24 Q So they would have to go see a psychiatrist then, an
- 25 MD/PhD?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q So I guess we'll skip down to that bottom part where
- 3 | you've estimated psychiatric treatment, an initial visit and
- 4 | then every two months, for an aggregate of forty years?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 | Q For what purpose would those visits be?
- 7 A For the psychiatric, that would be to have medication 8 management with a psychiatrist.
- 9 Q All right. Now we'll go back up to the medications.
- 10 How did you determine the cost both for generic and
- 11 | non-generic, I guess, psychological medication?
- 12 A We looked up on the internet the cost of various
- 13 medications.
- 14 Now some of these medications do not come in generic
- 15 | form. Especially medications that are new, there may not be
- 16 | a generic form developed for four or five years. Even of
- 17 | those that are available in generic form, some psychiatrists
- 18 | will say they want to prescribe brand name only because they
- 19 | feel like it's the most effective.
- 20 Q So I see, then, you've taken essentially the average,
- 21 | \$300 a month, but of course, as you've testified, it may be
- 22 the case that generic medications are not available for
- 23 these girls --
- 24 A Right.
- 25 | Q -- depending on what they're being prescribed.

- What type of medications were you researching to reach this estimate?
- 3 A Those anti-depressants. There were also some mood 4 stabilizing drugs.
- It could also be some of the medications that are

 called anti-psychotics but they're not necessarily used for

 psychosis. They can be used for things like aggressive

 behavior. They're kind of mood stabilizing in nature also.
- 9 Things like Abilify and Seroquel.
- Q Is it common for victims of childhood sexual abuse to ultimately need these type of medications to regulate their
- 12 | emotions and their behavior?
- 13 A Yes, it is.
- 14 Q Doctor, I see when we add each of these estimates, we
- 15 have a recommendation of a total of \$625,300 for both J.J.
- 16 | and E.J.
- Now as you testified earlier, these victims are about three years apart in age; is that right?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And I believe you testified on the 29th of July, each 21 has a slightly different diagnosis.
- 22 Could you remind us what their diagnoses are?
- A E.J. has a diagnosis of anxiety disorder, NOS. NOS
 stands for "not otherwise specified." It means that she has
 symptoms of anxiety that don't fit into a particular anxiety

disorder diagnosis such as maybe obsessive-compulsive disorder.

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

- J.J. has diagnoses of post traumatic stress disorder and major depression.
 - Q In your professional opinion, will the course of treatment for these two children very closely resemble one another?
- 8 A I would think so. E.J. is so young that it's hard to 9 tell what this might develop into.
 - For right now, I can tell that there are symptoms of anxiety. When she's a little bit older, it may look more like post traumatic stress disorder. It may develop into major depression.
- 14 It's just too soon to tell right now.
- Q Sure. Doctor, in your professional opinion, is \$625,300 as to each victim a reasonable amount that will fairly compensate them for their future psychiatric and psychological needs?
 - A I believe it would. I mean, worst case scenario -- we did some research on this, too. If somebody had to stay for three years in residential treatment at \$500 a day, that would cost \$550,000 just for that alone.
- If, as an adult, somebody had to have a year of
 hospitalization at \$1,000 a day, that's \$365,000. Ten years
 of hospitalization could cost \$3,650,000.

```
So I really tried to find a reasonable middle of the
 1
 2
     road estimate of what these children might need.
 3
          Okay. And these expectations as far as what they may
     need down the road, they're not entirely speculative,
     correct?
 5
 6
          They're based upon your interactions with the children
 7
     and your experience, your background, and your education
     regarding what we tend to see in victims of this type of
 8
 9
     abuse.
10
                 I mean, I don't have a crystal ball.
                                                        I can't
11
     tell you for sure what these kids might need in the future.
12
          But based on my experience and based on the symptoms
13
     and behaviors that I see so far, I'm thinking these are the
14
     things that these children seem to be at risk for.
15
                            Thank you, Doctor.
               MS. SOLARI:
16
               THE COURT: Mr. Merritt?
17
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. MERRITT:
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
18
19
     BY MR. MERRITT:
20
          Good afternoon, Dr. Walther.
          Good afternoon.
21
          The initial contact you had with these children, was
22
23
     that arranged through the Government or was it arranged
24
     through the parents of the children?
25
          I believe I first spoke with, I quess, the attorney.
```

- 1 Yes. And then the mom made an appointment.
- THE COURT: When you say "the attorney," do you
- 3 | mean Ms. Solari?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. I can't remember if I spoke
- 5 | with her personally or whether that was an assistant that I
- 6 | spoke with. That's why I kind of hesitated on that.
- 7 BY MR. MERRITT:
- 8 | Q So someone from her office or Ms. Solari herself
- 9 contacted you regarding these children?
- 10 A Correct, who asked if I was willing to do an assessment
- 11 on them.
- 12 | Q Did you bill the Government for that assessment, or did
- 13 | you bill the parents?
- 14 A I don't do the billing. My office manager does. But I
- 15 | believe we billed the Government.
- 16 | Q The first estimate that you provided at the previous
- 17 hearing indicated that there was some billing done to the
- 18 Government.
- 19 A I would not disagree with that. Like I said, I don't
- 20 do the billing personally. My office manager does. But I
- 21 believe that's the arrangement that they had.
- 22 | Q All right. Was there a request made by you to assess
- 23 these children for purposes of appearing in court and
- 24 testifying as a witness?
- 25 A I was asked to do an assessment and prepare a report.

- 1 | I didn't know for sure whether I would be testifying in
- 2 | court or not.
- 3 Q Your cohort, Dr. Johnson, that you conferred with in
- 4 | preparing your opinion today, has he ever interviewed or
- 5 | assessed these children in any manner?
- 6 A No, he has not.
- 7 Q And Dr. Cooper, you indicated you had consulted with a
- 8 report that he had prepared.
- 9 A I read a report that she had written.
- 10 Q She. I'm sorry.
- Is she a local psychologist?
- 12 A I don't believe so. Maybe Savannah. I'm not sure. I
- 13 don't remember.
- 14 | Q Have you ever met Dr. Cooper?
- 15 A No, I have not.
- 16 Q Has Dr. Cooper ever seen or assessed these children in
- 17 | any manner?
- 18 A No, she has not that I know of.
- 19 Q I understand your projections here are estimates.
- 20 | Please understand I'm not trying to be critical of your
- 21 opinion or those estimates.
- 22 A I understand.
- 23 Q But there currently has not been any hospitalization of
- 24 | the children; is that correct?
- 25 A That's correct, but I wouldn't expect that at the ages

- 1 of three and six.
- 2 Q At what age would you expect that?
- 3 A I think if it were going to happen it would be more
- 4 likely to happen during the teenage years, but not
- 5 | necessarily. There are children who are ten years old or
- 6 younger who are in hospitals.
- 7 Q But these children are not, and it's not expected in
- 8 | the immediate future?
- 9 A I wouldn't expect it. I'm not sure what you mean by
- 10 | "immediate," but I wouldn't expect, say, within the next
- 11 year. No.
- 12 Q The same is true for residential treatment. There's
- 13 been none in the past, and you wouldn't anticipate any for
- 14 | the next twelve months?
- 15 A No, I don't think so. That would be more likely when
- 16 | they're adolescents.
- 17 Q Neither of the children, to your knowledge, are on any
- 18 | type of medication?
- 19 A That's true.
- 20 | Q Again, nobody knows when that will occur?
- 21 A Due to their age, I wouldn't expect it to occur very
- 22 soon, but there are children who are six years old who are
- 23 on medication.
- 24 So I can't say that could not occur for J.J., let's
- 25 say, within the next twelve months. It's possible.

- 1 Q Okay. And again, if medications are required, it would
- 2 have to be prescribed by a medical doctor or someone with
- 3 | the authority to write a prescription such as a psychiatrist
- 4 or pediatrician?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Neither children are currently being treated by a
- 7 psychiatrist?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And they never have been?
- 10 A To my knowledge, no.
- 11 Q Were the children treated by any form of psychologist
- 12 or counseling prior to being assessed by you?
- 13 A Yes, they were. J.J. had seen a counselor, and I
- 14 | believe E.J. had either briefly seen one or her mother had
- 15 | spoken to one at that time. But it was very brief for E.J.
- 16 | Q Okay. And, Doctor, so that I understand clearly, the
- 17 | forty years of counseling that you have estimated, does it
- 18 | involve necessarily forty years from today's date through
- 19 the next consecutive forty years? It's an estimate of a
- 20 total of forty years over each child's life-span; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A Correct, because they could be in therapy for, say, two
- 23 to five years, out of it for a while, and back in it. It's
- 24 really hard to predict that. I mean, they could be out for
- 25 ten years and then have some kind of episode during, say,

```
their thirties and be in it for twenty-five years.
 1
 2
          Doctor, because of these variables and unknowns is
     there a margin of error in the estimate that you have given?
 3
          Of course there is, but that's my best estimate.
 5
     that's what I was asked to produce.
 6
          Can you give us a percentage of that margin of error?
 7
     I know you said this is the middle of the road.
 8
     the worst case scenario, and it's certainly not the best.
 9
          Is there -- you know, is it 5 percent?
10
          I don't think I can calculate that, honestly.
11
               MR. MERRITT: Okay. Thank you.
12
               THE COURT: Ms. Solari, any redirect?
13
               MS. SOLARI: No, ma'am, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: You may step down.
15
               THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
16
               THE COURT: All right, Ms. Solari, anything else
17
     from the Government regarding the amount of restitution?
               MS. SOLARI: No, Your Honor.
18
19
               May the witness be excused?
20
               THE COURT: Any objection?
               MR. MERRITT: No, Your Honor.
21
22
               THE COURT: Yes, she may.
                            That's all the evidence we have on
23
               MS. SOLARI:
24
     the issue of restitution, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: Mr. Merritt, let me hear from you.
```

Any witness, or evidence, or argument you would like to make 1 2 on the restitution issue? MR. MERRITT: No, Your Honor. We don't desire to 3 present any evidence, and we presented argument at the prior 4 hearing, Your Honor. I don't want to rehash that issue. 5 6 THE COURT: The written objections that you filed 7 went to two issues: The first being the objection to the 8 proposed restitution amount for L. and J., the adult 9 victims, and you withdrew that objection at the last hearing. 10 And the remaining objection was to the future 11 restitution amounts for J.J. and E.J., the two minor 12 victims; is that correct, Mr. Merritt? 13 MR. MERRITT: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Any argument you would like to make 15 about that one remaining restitution objection regarding future restitution for J.J. and E.J.? 16 17 MR. MERRITT: Just briefly, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 18 19 MR. MERRITT: So that the Court understands, as I 20 stated before, we are not here opposing restitution. Clearly this is a case where it is warranted, and we 21 22 anticipate the Court awarding that. It was the manner in which it was presented at the 23 24 prior hearing that we objected to primarily. And some 25 clarification has been made here regarding Dr. Walther's

opinion.

My concern would be that the manner approving that follow more the Lundquist case which we cited to Your Honor in our sentencing memo, I believe the first sentencing memo, regarding calculation. And that was a case where maybe there was more specific reports provided to the Court, but there were three separate assessments in that case that were provided. I know why the assessments are not necessarily a concern of the Court because the Court is not involved in the counseling. The Court is concerned about the restitution.

But as I understand in the *Lundquist* case, the assessments followed a very specific plan for what the treatment would be. It was not necessarily as speculative about medications, or psychiatric treatment, or hospitalizations which are not ongoing and are not anticipated in the immediate future.

That was simply my concern in trying to get the Court to order an amount which would be in line with what the Government's burden of proving is, by a preponderance of the evidence.

The other thing that I was concerned about was the forty years. That has been clarified. I understand Dr. Walther, now she's saying that over the course of their lifetime, probably an aggregate of forty years, not

```
necessarily forty calendar years from today's date because
1
 2
     it was a little bit confusing about how we had children of
    differing ages and differing diagnoses as far as the
 3
    psychological diagnosis was concerned and the restitution
     amounts were the same.
 5
               This is a very difficult job for Your Honor, I
 6
    know, to order an amount. I'm not about to suggest one.
 7
 8
     cannot sit here and say that the Government's request is
 9
    unreasonable. It's not unreasonable because there's so many
10
     variables that go into place. It's a difficult assessment.
11
               I assume I will close by saying we're not really
12
     objecting to restitution, as I said, but we're not objecting
13
    to the method as much as we were. We just want it to be in
14
     line with --
15
               THE COURT: As I understand your efforts, you have
16
     already succeeded in some respect in that you wanted to make
17
     sure that the Government is put to its burden of putting
     forth a reasonable estimate of future --
18
19
               MR. MERRITT: That's correct. That's correct,
20
    Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: -- losses.
22
               MR. MERRITT: That's correct. We will close with
23
    that.
24
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Merritt.
25
               Ms. Solari, let me hear argument.
```

MS. SOLARI: Yes, Your Honor.

Your Honor, this is, of course, an inexact science. And fortunately, absolute precision is not required to determine a restitution amount under circumstances such as these. And thank goodness it's not because, admittedly, we cannot do this with absolute precision. We don't have a crystal ball, and Dr. Walther can't see into the future as well as we might wish she could.

However, she has extensive experience in this area. She has a specialty in treating adolescents who have been victims of childhood sexual abuse. So based on her own firsthand experience, she has told us both in the initial sentencing hearing and today what we can expect with reasonable certainty we will see in the future with these two victims of the Defendant's abuse.

These children are at significant risk of suicidal behavior, self-injury, substance abuse, trouble in school, and any number of another things that are going to, unfortunately, probably require at some point hospitalization, long-term residential treatment, individual and group therapy.

Initially, Your Honor, and admittedly we had a much more general estimate of what we thought that would cost. And I think it's fair that the Defendant challenged

us and put the Government to its proof to be more specific, to ground each one of these numbers and each one of these time estimates in something more tangible.

I think we've done that to the extent that we can with Dr. Walther's very careful and considered diagnosis of each one of these children. She has consulted with another expert in her office. I did provide her with a report submitted in a similar case when she said, "I'm not sure what this is supposed to look like or what information the Court needs." So I asked her to consult that as well.

She's read learned treatises. She has looked on-line to determine what things cost. I think with a great degree of fairness, she has gone out of her way to make sure we're not asking for the world simply because there's a chance we might get it. These are averages.

"You know, in a lot of cases this would cost a lot more.

And I could anticipate these children's situations would be a lot worst, but we'll go with the middle of the road estimate," because she believes in her own professional opinion that that is reasonable and fair to compensate these children for their future losses.

Of course, that's what the Government wants. I understand Defense counsel is agreeing that that's what's needed in this case. And I know, of course, that's the

Court's concern, just to make sure the victims are fairly compensated.

I wish, Your Honor, that there were some more precision with which we could predict the future. We've done the best we can. And I do think these are fair estimates of what these children will need, again, based on their diagnoses and the behaviors, especially with regard to J.J. that Dr. Walther has already seen and unfortunately the Court witnessed firsthand in the sentencing hearing. This is damage that will take perhaps forever to be undone.

So this is the best we can do for these children in this case, Your Honor. I wish we had more, but I think this is a reasonable amount of restitution for these victims.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Solari.

THE COURT: Mr. Keating, is there anything that you would like to say to the Court before I decide the issue of restitution?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am, just that I'm sorry for all the pain that I have caused everybody.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Merritt and Mr. Keating, if you will approach.

To continue the restitution portion of the sentencing procedure, I find that the evidence presented here today regarding restitution for the minor victims, J.J.

and E.J., as it relates to their future losses, that evidence in the form of Dr. Walther's testimony is detailed and credible and conservative, and in the absence of a crystal ball, is a very reasonable basis upon which the Court can properly conclude a restitution amount for what is, by definition, an inexact science.

2.

I find that the Government has carried its burden of establishing by a preponderance of the evidence the restitution amount for these minor victims for their future losses.

As stated before, the only issue of restitution that remains disputed by the Defendant was these future losses for those minor children. As Defense counsel has explained, they do not contest that some restitution is due, but merely were doing their job in demanding that the Government come forth with the appropriate level of proof. And the Government has done so.

I find that the credible evidence establishes by a preponderance that restitution for the future loss for the minor victim J.J. should be awarded in the amount of \$625,300. And likewise, the same future loss restitution amount for the minor E.J., \$625,300.

When those future loss amounts are added to the undisputed and previously established restitution amounts, that comes to a total restitution for all victims of

\$1,284,363.94.

Of course, the economic circumstances of the Defendant do not allow for the payment of the full amount of restitution ordered under any reasonable schedule of payments now or in the foreseeable future. Therefore, a plan is in order. While in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the Defendant is ordered to pay a minimum of 80 percent of any payment received from any retirement account, or employee pension, or Social Security benefits toward this restitution obligation.

Additionally, pursuant to 18 USC § 3664(f)(3)(B), nominal payments of either quarterly installments of a minimum of \$25 if working non-UNICOR or a minimum of 50 percent of monthly earnings if working UNICOR shall be made.

Upon release from imprisonment, while on supervised release, nominal payments of a minimum of \$500 per month shall be made.

All such payments should be made payable to the Clerk of the U. S. District Court for ultimate distribution on to the identifiable victims.

And to be clear, the total amount for the minor victim J.J., including future and all other losses, is a total of \$634,885.40. As to the minor victim E.J., \$634,220.40. As to Jessica Johns, \$1,138.65, and as to Lisa Keating, \$14,461.49.

I do order that the portion of this judgment which identifies the victims, that that be filed as an addendum to the judgment and that that addendum be sealed for the limited purpose of protecting the identities of the minor victims.

I have determined that the Defendant does not have the ability to pay interest, and it is ordered that the interest requirement be waived.

Before we conclude the sentencing for Mr. Keating, and before I sign the J & C and remand him to the custody of the U. S. Marshal, are there any other issues that need to be made, or any objections that need to be raised, as to any part of the sentencing, including the amount of the sentencing, the length, my findings of fact, my conclusions of law, or to the manner in which sentence was pronounced.

Mr. Merritt?

MR. MERRITT: No objection, Your Honor, but a question because of some peculiar circumstances in this case. Mr. Keating does have a 401(k) plan and a defined benefit plan. He, of course, is not eligible for any receipts from the defined benefit plan at the present time.

We previously consented to the entry of an order which prohibited him from disbursing any of those funds.

We're also involved in a divorce with his wife.

Given that Your Honor has entered the order for

restitution and the payment plan, is my understanding correct that that restraining order will now be lifted, and if any of those proceeds are disbursed, then the 80 percent will be paid to the Clerk to pay the restitution?

THE COURT: Ms. Solari?

MS. SOLARI: Your Honor, I had intended to ask the same question. I apologize for not being more familiar with the financial aspects or the collection aspects.

It was the Government's intention to take action against Mr. Keating's 401(k) account, which as of May 14th of this year held just slightly over \$198,000.

We also wanted to ask the Court whether there needs to be anything done at the appropriate time to compel Mr. Keating to take action to begin receiving payments from his defined benefits plan for which he will be eligible. I believe in a few years, he would receive a lesser payment than he would if he waited until the year 2025, when he would receive double the amount if he waited until that time.

The Government is interested in collecting as much as possible as soon as possible for these victims, and we're just wondering the most appropriate way to go about that.

THE COURT: I would not be surprised if that were not your intention as well on behalf of the Defense.

MR. MERRITT: It is, Your Honor. I think the

```
Court's ruling is quite fair to both sides. It takes 80
1
 2
    percent of that money that is available now, which does
     leave some to satisfy something in the divorce as well as
 3
     there are certain other unpaid costs that we have incurred
     in this case.
                    If the Court --
 5
 6
               THE COURT: And certain day-to-day living -- not
 7
    requirements, but close to it --
 8
              MR. MERRITT: Yes, ma'am.
 9
               THE COURT: -- in prison life.
10
               THE COURT: Let me propose this.
                                                 If counsel for
11
    the Government and counsel for the Defendant will meet and
12
     confer this afternoon, I think you can probably come up with
     an agreed-upon order and present it to me. We can make that
13
14
     appropriate as for the collection aspect.
15
               MS. SOLARI: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              MR. MERRITT: Yes, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Any objections as to any part of this
     sentencing or from the July 29 portion?
18
19
              MR. MERRITT: None from the Defense, Your Honor.
20
              MS. SOLARI: None from the Government, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Keating, I will remand
21
    you to the custody of the U. S. Marshal. We will be in
22
23
    recess. If you will present me any order regarding the
24
    mechanics of the collection, we will address that.
25
              MR. MERRITT: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

1	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
2	(Recess at 2:51 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	CERTIFICATION
10	
11	I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct
12	transcript of the stenographic record of the above-mentioned
13	matter.
14	
15	norma Hatfield
16	
17	Norma Hatfield, FPR, Court Reporter
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	